



Office of the Clerk
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
Post Office Box 193939
San Francisco, California 94119-3939
415-355-8000

Molly C. Dwyer
Clerk of Court

January 25, 2023

No.:	23-15103
D.C. No.:	3:20-cv-02155-LB
Short Title:	Caitlin Brice, et al v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc.

Dear Appellant/Counsel

A copy of your notice of appeal/petition has been received in the Clerk's office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The U.S. Court of Appeals docket number shown above has been assigned to this case. You must indicate this Court of Appeals docket number whenever you communicate with this court regarding this case.

Motions filed along with the notice of appeal in the district court are not automatically transferred to this court for filing. Any motions seeking relief from this court must be separately filed in this court's docket.

Please furnish this docket number immediately to the court reporter if you place an order, or have placed an order, for portions of the trial transcripts. The court reporter will need this docket number when communicating with this court.

The due dates for filing the parties' briefs and otherwise perfecting the appeal have been set by the enclosed "Time Schedule Order," pursuant to applicable FRAP rules. These dates can be extended only by court order. Failure of the appellant to comply with the time schedule order will result in automatic dismissal of the appeal. 9th Cir. R. 42-1.

Payment of the \$505 docketing and filing fees is past due. Failure to correct this deficiency may result in the dismissal of this case for failure to prosecute. See 9th Cir. R. 42-1. The fee is payable to the District Court.

Appellants who are filing pro se should refer to the accompanying information sheet regarding the filing of informal briefs.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 25 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

CAITLIN BRICE; HEDDI N.
CUNDLE; ANGELA DOYLE;
ISABELLE GMEREK; KRISTEN
HARTMANN; PETER HIRSHBERG;
THERESE JIMENEZ; LISA T.
JOHNSTON; OAK LIFE CHURCH;
SAINT PAULUS LUTHERAN
CHURCH; STACEY SIMINS,
individually and on behalf of all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs - Appellees,

v.

ALFRED GONZALEZ,

Objector - Appellant,

v.

ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS,
INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 23-15103

D.C. No. 3:20-cv-02155-LB
U.S. District Court for Northern
California, San Francisco

TIME SCHEDULE ORDER

The parties shall meet the following time schedule.

If there were reported hearings, the parties shall designate and, if necessary, cross-designate the transcripts pursuant to 9th Cir. R. 10-3.1. If there were no reported hearings, the transcript deadlines do not apply.

Thu., February 23, 2023	Transcript shall be ordered.
Thu., March 23, 2023	Transcript shall be filed by court reporter.
Mon., May 1, 2023	Appellant's opening brief and excerpts of record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 31 and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.
Thu., June 1, 2023	Appellees' answering brief and excerpts of record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 31 and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.

The optional appellant's reply brief shall be filed and served within 21 days of service of the appellees' brief, pursuant to FRAP 31 and 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.

Failure of the appellant to comply with the Time Schedule Order will result in automatic dismissal of the appeal. See 9th Cir. R. 42-1.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

By: Ruben Talavera
Deputy Clerk
Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7